

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
9

10 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,  
11 Plaintiff(s),

12 v.

13 SOMERSET PARK HOMEOWNERS  
14 ASSOCIATION, et al.,  
15 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:17-cv-01625-RFB-NJK

ORDER

(Docket No. 24)

16 Pending before the Court is a stipulation to extend the deadline to amend the pleadings. Docket  
17 No. 24. At this stage, there is no indication that a motion to amend will be filed. Moreover, a party is  
18 permitted to file a motion to amend the pleadings after the deadline lapses, and can address at that time  
19 whether there is sufficient cause to extend that deadline. *See, e.g., Zambrano v. Cardenas Markets, Inc.*,  
20 2016 WL 7045710, at \*1 (D. Nev. Dec. 2, 2016) (describing two-step process for seeking to amend the  
21 pleadings after expiration of the deadline).<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the stipulation is **DENIED** as unnecessary.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 DATED: December 18, 2017

24   
25 \_\_\_\_\_  
NANCY J. KOPPE  
United States Magistrate Judge  
26

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>1</sup> The Court expresses no opinion herein on any such motion to amend, including whether sufficient  
cause exists to modify the scheduling order.